EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

APPLICATION AND ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY

-V-		. A	5
Clint Calero	Case No.	5- MJ.	780
CIMI Catero			
The United States of America and the defendant he is to 13 / 15 be excluded to an information or indictment must be filed () trial of the charges against defendant must	from the computa	tion of the time pe	period from eriod within which
The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period because			
they are engaged in plea negotiations, which case without trial, and they require an exclusion of time in that they would not, despite their diligence, have reasonable	order to focus effo	orts on plea negoti	iations without the risk
() they need additional time to prepare for to()		nplexity of case,	
The defendant states that he/she has been fully adv Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act this Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of t understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury Defendant	t of 1974, 18 U.S. the Federal Rules within a specified	.C. §§ 3161-74; the of Criminal Proce	he plan and rules of edure. The defendant
Counsel for Defendant			
The joint application of the United States of Amer on the date below, the time period from the time within which () an information or indictment must that this exclusion of time serves the ends of justice and out speedy trial for the reasons discussed on the record and because	to to to the filed or (/)	is hereby extrial must commer	xcluded in computing nce. The Court finds
() given the reasonable likelihood that ongoing without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary for exercise of due diligence.	focus their effort	s on plea negotiati	ions without the risk
			·
SO ORDERED.)	0
Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y 20 1			
	Unit	ed States Magistr	ate Judge